Two positions for verbal modifiers: Evidence from derived particle verbs*

Éva Dékány, Veronika Hegedűs RIL HAS

SinFonIJA 8

1. Introduction

Verbal Modifiers (VMs):

- bare objects, verbal particles, resultatives, other primary and secondary predicates
- preverbal in neutral sentences and postverbal otherwise (illustrated with particles below).

particle > V

(1)	János fel-biciklizett a hegyre. John up-bike.PST.3SG the mountain.to 'John biked up the mountain.'	neutral sentence
(2)	János fel akart biciklizni a hegyre. John up wanted bike.INF the mountain.to 'John wanted to bike up the mountain.'	neutral sentence with Aux
V > p	article	
(3)	János nem biciklizett fel a hegyre. John not bike.PST.3SG up the mountain.to 'John did not bike up the mountain.'	negation
(4)	JÁNOS biciklizett fel a hegyre. John bike.PST.3SG up the mountain.to 'It was John who biked up the mountain.'	narrow focus

• can be left behind in positive answers to polar questions (TP-ellipsis, Lipták, 2012, 2013):

^{*}Financial support for this research was provided by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA NK 100804) and Dékány's postdoctoral grant of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. Our names are in alphabetical order.

(5)	Fel-bicikliztél	a	hegyre?	(6)	Fel.
	up.bike.PST.2SG	the	mountain.to		up
	'Did you bike up	o the	e mountain?'		'I did.'

• Recent analyses: VMs are merged in the complement of V (É. Kiss, 2006, Surányi, 2009a,b, Hegedűs, 2013); they move to the preverbal position in overt syntax.

Aim of this talk:

- analyze denominal particle verbs with inseparable particles;
- account for their dual behavior w.r.t other particles/resultatives;
- show that not all VMs are merged in the same position.

Claims:

- The particle of a group of derived verbs (where the particle attaches low) is inseparable in syntax because of a nominalizer in the structure (and not because those are "lexical particle verbs").
- Semantically bleached particles/resultatives referring to '(full) degree' are compatible with inseparable particle verbs because they are introduced as specifiers, as opposed to regular particles/resultatives, which are predicates of complement Small Clauses.
- The word order variation we find in our data is due to a structural reanalysis of the particle.

2. Inseparable particle verbs

There exist a few cases in which the particle is not separable from the verb. We illustrate with $felv \acute{e}telizik$ 'take an entrance exam':

(7)	János fel-vételizett az egyetemre.	
	John up-exam.take.PST.3SG the university.to 'John took a university entrance exam.'	neutral sentence
(8)	János fel-vételizni akart az egyetemre. John up-exam.take.INF wanted the university.to 'John wanted to take a university entrance exam.' cluster	neutral sentence with verb
(9)	János éppen fel-vételizett az egyetemre amikor John just up-bike.pst.3sg the university.to when John was taking a university entrace exam when	 progressive aspect
(10)	János nem fel-vételizett az egyetemre. John not up-exam.take.PST.3SG the university.to 'John did not take an entrance exam.'	negation
(11)	JÁNOS fel-vételizett az egyetemre. John up-exam.take.PST.3SG the university.to 'It was John that took an entrance exam.'	narrow focus

(12) **Fel-vételizz** az egyetemre! up-exam.take.IMP.2SG the university.to 'Take an entrance exam!'

imperative

Cannot be left behind in positive answers to polar questions

(13)	Q: Fel-vételiztél az egyetemre?	A: *Fel.
	up-exam.take.PST.2SG the university.to	$^{\mathrm{up}}$
	'Did you take an entrance exam?	I did.'

The list of verbs:

- $ás_N$ - ol_V	<i>ki</i> fogásol 'take objection to' <i>be</i> folyásol 'influence'
$-at_N$ - ol_V	<i>kivonatol</i> 'précis'
	<i>fel</i> tételez 'assume'
	${oldsymbol k} {oldsymbol i} vitelez$ 'carry out'
$-t_N - el_N - ez_V$	kivételez 'show a favor toward'
	$bev \acute{e}telez$ 'enter as income'
	szemrevételez 'inspect'
	utánvételez 'collect (value) upon delivery'
	$-at_N$ - ol_V

 $-t_N - el_N - i_N - z_V(ik)$ **fel**vételizik 'take an entrace exam'

Possible accounts:

- Lexical integrity or Word Structure Autonomy Condition (Selkirk, 1982; Booij, 1985)?
- \leftrightarrow No: these particles are at least to some extent visible for syntax.¹
- Inseparable particles combine with the V in the lexicon?
- \leftrightarrow No: On a lexicalist view, it is unexpected that combination with preverbal bare objects, and ordinary resultatives or ordinary verbal particles is out.
- Inseparable particles combine with the V in syntax? = These are regular particle verbs?
- \leftrightarrow (i) Inseparability needs accounting for. (ii) It is unexpected that combination with exhaustive and durative particles and some resultatives is OK. (Particle doubling is not OK, either, but it has a more limited distribution in general.)

Generalizations

- all inseparable particle verbs involve a nominalized verb
- there might be multiple nominalizers, but the innermost nominalizer is -t or -as

¹Lipták and Kenesei (2014) discuss inseparable particles inside $-hat \delta$ adjectives, such as be- $sz \delta m it$ - $hat \delta$ in-count-able 'sane'. Our cases are different in two respects: (i) $-hat \delta$ forms are adjectives (or non-finite clauses), our derived words have the distribution of verbs, and (ii) the particles in $-hat \delta$ forms appear to be completely invisible to syntax, while this is not the case with our derived verbs.

- -t attaches low
 - (15) ad, ad-at, ad-ás give give-NMZ give-NMZ give, datum, giving/broadcast
- -ás can also attach low
- the particle is attached before nominalization
 - (16) [befolyás]+ol 'influence' (17) [kivonat]+ol 'précis'

3. VMs and inseparable particle verbs

3.1. Co-occurrence with preverbal bare objects

(18) Mari tervet kovácsolt (*tervet). Mary plan.ACC make.PST.3SG plan.ACC 'Mary made a plan.'

co-occurrence: X

(19) *Mari **tervet ki**-fogásolt. Mari plan.ACC out-object.PST.3SG 'Mary was taking objection to a plan.'

NB: telic verbs are ungrammatical with bare objects, which may rule out (19), but (20) features an atelic inseparable particle verb:

(20) *Mari **tervet ki**-vonatolt. Mary plan.ACC out-précis.PST.3SG 'Mary made a précis of a plan.'²

3.2. Co-occurrence with verbal particles

• ordinary verbal particle

(21)	Mari meg-főzte a levest.	
	Mary PRT-cook.PST.3SG the soup.ACC 'Mary has cooked the soup.'	meg
(22)	Mari el-olvasta a könyvet. Mary away-read.PST.3SG the book.ACC 'Mary has read (all of) the book.'	perfectivizing
(23)	Mari el-ment a koncertre. Mary away-went the concert.to 'Mary went to the concert.'	directional

 $^{^{2}(20)}$ is OK if the object is focused, but we are interested in the object incorporation reading.

co-occurrence: X

(24)	*A cég meg-ki -vitelezte a tervet. the firm PRT-out-carry.PST.3SG the plan.ACC The firm carried out the plan.' meg
(25)	*A cég el-ki -vitelezte a tervet. the firm away-out-carry.PST.3SG the plan.ACC The firm carried out the plan.' directional/perfectivizing
=	les with highly bleached semantics (exhaustive ki , exhaustive $sz\acute{e}t$, durative l durative $\acute{a}t$)
(26)	Ki-futottam magamat. out-ran.1SG myself 'I ran myself to exhaustion.' exhaustive <i>ki</i>
(27)	Szét-tanultam az agyamat. apart-learned.1SG the brain.1SG.ACC 'I have studied to exhaustion.' exhaustive <i>szét</i>
(28)	El-beszéltük az időt. away-spoke.1PL the time.ACC 'We spoke away and ran out of time.' durative <i>el</i>
(29)	Át-aludtamanapot.through-slept.1SG the day.ACC'I slept through the day.'durative át
co-occ	urrence: 🗸
(30)	
(31)	Szét-fel-vételiztemaz agyam.apart-up-entrace.exam.take.PST.1SG the brain.1SG'I got exhausted with taking entrance exams'exhaustive szét
(32)	El-fel -vételiztem az időt. away-up-entrace.exam.take.PST.1SG the time.ACC 'I spent all the available time with tanking entrace exams.' durative <i>el</i>
(33)	Át-fel-vételiztema napot.through-up-entrace.exam.take.PST.1SGthe day.ACC'I spent all day with taking entrace exams.'durative át

3.3. Co-occurrence with resultatives

- ordinary resultative
 - (34) Vörös-re sírtam a szemem. red.to cry.PST.1SG the eye.1SG 'I got red eyes by crying.'

co-occurrence: X

- (35) *Vörösre fel-vételiztem a szemem.
 red.to up-entrance.exam.take.PST.1SG the eye.1SG
 'I got red eyes by taking entrance exams/an entrance exam.'
- (36) *A cég készre ki-vitelezte a tervet. the firm ready.to out-carry.PST.3SG the plan.ACC The firm carried out the plan.'
- other resultatives (halálra 'to death', betegre 'sick')
 - (37) Halálra/betegre ettem magam. death.to/sick.to eat.pst.1sg myself 'I ate myself entirely full/sick.'

co-occurrence: \checkmark

(38) **Betegre fel**-vételiztem magam. sick.to up-entrance.exam.take-PST.1SG self.1SG 'I got myself sick by taking entrance exams.'

3.4. Interim summary

(39)	PRT+bare object		X
	PRT+particle	ordinary exhaustive or durative	× ✓
	PRT+resultative	ordinary halálra, betegre	× ✓

4. Theoretical background

We assume that particle verbs in general are constructed in narrow syntax.

VMs are predicative (É. Kiss, 2006), they are merged in the predicate of a Small Clause that is the complement of V (Hegedűs, 2013)

We adopt Surányi's (2009a; 2009b) proposal about particle syntax: particles are merged inside the VP, move to a vP-internal position (spec, PredP)³ where semantic incorporation happens, and they move on to their surface position in Spec, TP (see also Kenesei, 1988).



(40)

We take derivational suffixes to be exponents of syntactic heads; NMZ and VRB are meant to be theory-neutral labels.

5. The structure of inseparable particle verbs

Proposal: it is the nominalization merged to the particle-verb construction that is responsible for the inseparability

1. verb and particle merged in syntax, particle moves above VP for semantic incorporation (Surányi, 2009a,b)



³Note that we use PredP in the sense of Zwart (1993) and Koster (1994): the projection whose specifier is occupied by a predicate, the locus of complex predicate formation; not to be confused with Bowers' 1993 Predicative Phrase.



4. surface position of particles is spec, TP (Surányi, 2009a,b), but the particles in question cannot move there because

- a. NMZ is a phase head, the particle could only move to spec, TP via NMZP's specifier
- b. this is not possible because PPs cannot occupy specifier positions in the extended NP $\,$
 - (41) a pad a kertben, *a kertben pad the bench the garden.INE the garden.INE bench both: the bench in the garden'
- c. PPs must be attributivized by -i or $lev\delta$ in order to sit in nominal Specs (Kenesei, 2014)
 - (42) a kert-i pad (43) a kertben levő pad the garden-ATTR bench the bench in the garden' the bench in the garden'
- d. particles cannot be attributivized
 - (44) *az el-i futás (45) *az el levő futás the away-ATTR running the running away' the running away'

6. Accounting for the co-occurrence restrictions

In inseparable particle constructions, the outermost verbal head has no complement position available for VMs.

(46)



This accounts for the incompatibility with ordinary particles, ordinary resultatives, and bare objects.

(47)	*Mari tervet ki -fogásolt. Mari plan.ACC out-object.PST.3SG 'Mary was taking objection to a plan.'	bare object
(48)	a. *A cég meg-ki -vitelezte a tervet.	
	the firm PRT-out-carry.PST.3SG the plan.ACC	
	The firm carried out the plan.'	meg
	b. *A cég el-ki -vitelezte a tervet.	
	the firm away-out-carry.PST.3SG the plan.ACC	
	The firm carried out the plan.' dire	ectional/perfectivizing
(49)	a. *Vörösre fel-vételiztem a szemem.	
	red.to up-entrance.exam.take.PST.1SG the eye.1SG	
	'I got red eyes by taking entrance exams/an entrance	exam.'
	b. *A cég készre ki-vitelezte a tervet.	
	the firm ready.to out-carry.PST.3SG the plan.ACC	
	The firm carried out the plan.'	ordinary resultatives

However, co-occurrence is OK with some particles and some resultatives.

- particles: exhaustive ki, exhaustive $sz\acute{e}t$, durative el, and durative $\acute{a}t$
- resultatives: halálra 'to death', betegre 'sick'

Proposal:

- VMs that inseparable particle verbs can combine with have the semantic component 'to full degree' in common
- they are merged as modifiers rather than complements of the verb
- they are merged in spec, PredP, the place of semantic incorporation
- therefore their presence does not depend on the availability of the complement position



Both the inseparable particle verb *felvételiz* 'take an entrance exam' and the VP-modifier particle/resultative introduce an argument \rightarrow a fake reflexive is obligatory

(52) **Betegre/{szét/ki} fel-**vételiztem *(magam). sick.to/apart/out up-entrance.exam.take-PST.1SG self.1SG 'I got myself sick/exhausted by taking entrance exams.' Neither $felv \acute{e}teliz$ 'take an entrance exam' nor the VP-modifier particle/resultative has a complement position available

 \rightarrow the theme magam 'self-acc' must be introduced as a specifier



This theme has the same syntactic and thematic properties as ordinary objects \rightarrow ordinary objects, too, are merged as specifiers (see Bowers, 1993; Hale and Keyser, 1993; and Den Dikken, to appear)

Q: why can't VP-modifier particles/resultatives co-occur with separable particles? Possible answers: (i) ban on the double delimitation on events; (ii) there is a clash between them in the VM position; (iii) excluded for case-theoretic reasons (The verb and the two particles all introduce an argument of their own. This makes for 3 arguments in total, with only two case assigners available.)

(54)	a.	*Ki el-futottam magam.	
		out away-learn.PST.1SG self.1SG	
		'I got myself exhausted by running away.'	$\operatorname{directional}$
	b.	*Ki el-olvastam magam/a könyvet.	
		out away-read.PST.1SG self.1SG/the book.ACC $$	
		'I got myself exhausted by reading all of the book.'	perfectivizing

Q: What about derived verbs that can combine with regular particles? Answer: These are merged differently: incorporation vs. conflation (cf. Hale and Keyser 1993, 2002 vs. Haugen 2009; Mateu 2012). Simple roots can form a complex head with the verbalizer (by head adjunction), making the structural complement position available for the particle/resultative.

(55)	a.	János el-lapát-ol-t-a	a havat.
		John away-shovel-VRB-P	ST-3SG the snow.ACC
		'John has shoveled the sn	now away.'
	b.	Ma el-email-ez-t-em	a választ.
		to do a server served type po	an iag the second tag

today away-email-VRB-PST-1SG the answer.ACC 'I emailed the answer today.'

7. Conclusions

We aimed to

- analyze derived particle verbs with inseparable particles;
- account for their dual behavior w.r.t other particles/resultatives;
- show that not all VMs are merged in the same position.

and claimed that

- \Rightarrow Inseparable particles of derived verbs are (i) inserted into the structure in syntax, and (ii) are inseparable because of a nominalizer in the structure.
- ⇒ Semantically bleached particles/resultatives referring to '(full) degree' are compatible with inseparable particle verbs because they are introduced as specifiers, as opposed to regular particles/resultatives, which are predicates of complement Small Clauses.

References

- Booij, Geert E. 1985. Coordination reduction in complex words: A case for prosodic phonology. In Advances in nonlinear phonology, ed. Harry van der Hulst and Norval Smith, 143–160. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Bowers, John. 1993. The syntax of predication. Linguistic Inquiry 24:591-656.
- Dikken, Marcel den. to appear. Raising the subject of the object-of relation. MIT Working Papers in Linguistics .
- É. Kiss, Katalin. 2006. The function and the syntax of the verbal particle. In *Event* structure and the left periphery. studies on Hungarian, ed. Katalin É. Kiss, volume 68 of Studies in natural language and linguistic theory, 17–56. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Hale, Ken, and Samuel Jay Keyser. 2002. Prolegomenon to a Theory of Argument Structure. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Hale, Kenneth, and Samuel Jay Keyser. 1993. On the argument structure and the lexical expression of syntactic relations. In *The view from building 20: essays in linguistics in honor of Sylvain Bromberger*, ed. Kenneth Hale and Samuel Jay Keyser, 53–109. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Haugen, Jason D. 2009. Hyponymous objects and Late Insertion. Lingua 119:242-262.
- Hegedűs, Veronika. 2013. Non-verbal predicates and predicate movement in Hungarian. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Tilburg.
- Kenesei, István. 1988. Adjuncts and arguments in VP-focus in Hungarian. Acta Linguistica Hungariaca 4:61–88.
- Kenesei, István. 2014. On a multifunctional derivational affix: Its use in relational adjectives or nominal modification and phrasal affixation in Hungarian. Word Structure 7:214–239.
- Koster, Jan. 1994. Predicate Incorporation and the Word Order of Dutch. In Paths Towards Universal Grammar. Studies in Honor of Richard S. Kayne, ed. Guglielmo Cinque, Jan Koster, Jean-Yves Pollock, Luigi Rizzi, and Raffaella Zanuttini, 255–276. Washington D.C.: Georgetown University Press.
- Lipták, Anikó. 2012. Verb-stranding ellipsis and verbal identity. *Linguistics in the Nether*lands 29:82–96.
- Lipták, Anikó. 2013. The syntax of emphatic positive polarity in Hungarian: evidence from ellipsis. *Lingua* 128:72–94.

- Lipták, Anikó, and István Kenesei. 2014. A putative multifunctional affix: the case of -ható (-able) in hungarian. Ms., Leiden University and RIL HAS.
- Mateu, Jaume. 2012. Conflation and incorporation processes in resultative constructions. In *Telicity, Change, and State: A Cross-Categorial View of Event Structure*, ed. Violeta Demonte and Louise McNally, 252–278. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Selkirk, Elizabeth. 1982. The syntax of words. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Surányi, Balázs. 2009a. Locative particle and adverbial incorporation at the interfaces. In Adverbs and adverbial adjuncts at the interfaces, ed. Katalin É. Kiss, Interface explorations 20, 39–74. Berlin and New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Surányi, Balázs. 2009b. Verbal particles inside and outside vP. Acta Linguistica Hungarica 56:201-249.
- Zwart, Jan-Wouter. 1993. Dutch Syntax: A Minimalist Approach. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Groningen.